

| | | |
|-------|---|--|
| 05/12 | <p>Action Plan 2011/12 (circulated with Agenda)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Matlock Station – The arrangement between DDDC Tourism and Peak Rail to locate a TIC in the station buildings had been agreed and works were in progress . PR had done the rewiring and the Railway Heritage Trust had given a grant for stone work repairs, which were being undertaken by the Arkwright Society’s stonemasons. AM had also been successful in a grant application for £5,000 towards the building repairs and to provide extra funding to make the project happen. There were no plans to include any work to the roof at this stage. Signage was being updated to direct to the new location. Initially the TIC would not be able to book accommodation, but that was a possibility for the future. CC expressed concern that whilst the TIC would be manned by willing and enthusiastic volunteers, they would not be able to give the previous “professional” service (although the former TIC staff were giving some initial support and training). It was agreed that should Peak Rail feel they needed support in the future, they could raise the matter with the CRP 2. Matlock Bath Whistlestop Centre. The DWT had received the report from the Rolls Royce graduates and had agreed the vision it suggested for a farm shop retail outlet with associated functions (simple catering, tourist info, environmental interpretation – for visitors and local residents. This would tie into the charitable aims of the DWT through sustainability, conservation farming, food miles etc. There was discussion on whether such a vision was sufficiently robust financially to allow the DWT to address the underlying problem of the cost of repairs to the buildings. Action: GP would speak to Mike Hase re next steps 3. School Groups Travel Guide. AM had circulated the finished guide to schools in electronic form. CC noted that the DVLWHS logo was noticeable by its absence. As this was an internationally recognised designation it should be publicised at every opportunity. AF said that the WHS was itself sending out a new guide which had a cross-reference to the Schools Guide. It was agreed that the Schools Guide for the next academic year would feature the WHS logo more prominently. 4. Community Rail in the City – 7th March 2012. St Pancras. Together with representatives from other CRP’s (Lake District, N Staffs etc) DVL volunteers had distributed a lot of publicity material for the line. Two roller display stands had made an impact and volunteers wore CRP branded shirts. AM thanked those helping and said that he planned two similar local displays at Derby & Nottingham stations later in March – for which volunteers were welcome. 5. Belper & Whatstandwell Station Areas – AM had spoken to “Belper In Bloom” regarding the NR owned banks behind the platforms. Transition Belper were interested in working to improve the banks and AM was pursuing the arrangements for access with Network Rail. Community Payback teams could also be used to assist. At Whatstandwell there had been no progress regarding the disused platform where access was an issue. 6. DV Walks Leaflets – these had been originally produced approx 15 years ago and a new version was soon to be issued by Countryside Service on behalf of DVMWHS. AM circulated some proofs. There were 3 leaflets for sections of the line and each would be 35p and be available at Council Offices, TIC’s and Libraries. It was felt that they should be available at other retail outlets along the route. The leaflets would not be available for download free online. CC noted there were several historical/factual errors. GP asked CC to review them and feed back corrections a.s.a.p.. 7. Passenger Growth 2011/12. AM reported the figures for 44 weeks to 4th Feb 2012 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AMB - 0.2% (change on 2010/11) • BLP +7.0% • CMF +11.0% • DFI +7.0% • MAT +7.0% • MTB +16.5% • WTS -1.0% <p>Overall there had been 31,000 more passenger journeys. Introduction of car park charges at AMB and WTS could be a factor in the reductions seen there.</p> | <p>Peak Rail</p> <p>GP</p> <p>AM</p> <p>AM</p> <p>CC</p> |
| 06/12 | <p>December 2012 Timetable</p> <p>The CRP had submitted their response to the EMT Consultation document on the Dec2012 TT. This had been circulated to members.</p> | |

| | | |
|-------|---|--------------|
| 07/12 | <p>Car Parking</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Matlock – Charges would be imposed from 15th March 2012 and the daily charge would be £4.00 for the Rail User spaces. There would be separate signage indicating the machine to be used. The fee was less than that charged in the DDDC areas. It was understood that fines for having the wrong ticket for the area parked would not be enforceable. Discounts for rail users were not available (in line with other EMT managed station car parks on the DVL). 2. Cromford – Charges would commence from 30th April 2012. Signs had been erected but the marking of “herringbone” bays had not been introduced (which would increase capacity). | |
| 08/12 | <p>Forthcoming Engineering Works.</p> <p>Engineering works in May & June mean replacement Bus services (Derby-Matlock) would be operating as follows</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sun 6th May • Sun 13th May • Sat –Tue 2nd - 5th June (Bank Holiday weekend) • Sat/Sun 9th-10th June <p>It was agreed that some signage be prepared for display at stations in advance of the works.</p> | AM |
| 09/12 | <p>Designated Lines Seminar</p> <p>This was a DfT/ACoRP event and this year would be in Sheffield - GP & AM would be attending. Local partnerships are invited to give a presentation & AM would be speaking on the achievements, problems and future plans for the DVLCRP and using the banner displays from the St Pancras event.</p> | |
| 10/12 | <p>Consultation Documents</p> <p>Several consultation documents were out for review including</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternative Solutions Scoping Document (Network Rail) • Fares & Ticketing (DfT) • Decentralisation (NR) • Command Paper (Govt) <p>GP asked FDVL: if they would look at the documents and identify issues for the DVL</p> | EM RL |
| 11/12 | <p>Arts Projects (circulated with Agenda)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Derbyshire Stations – part of a national scheme and the CRP is being asked to support the project which is seeking Arts Council Funding of £100k, and for which 10% match funding (split between High Peak & DVL CRP's) is needed – i.e £5k for DVL over 3 years. 2. “Undiscovered Networks” – a project, possibly to erect banners on station lamp columns, regarding train travel today . <p>There was some concern expressed that the CRP is being asked to use scarce funds for projects which do not appear to directly improve the service/stations/community.</p> | |
| 12/12 | <p>Action Plan 2012/2013 (circulated with Agenda)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Summer evening promotion would run for a second year. The fare would be unchanged. As there would be no external funding for publicity, this would be done by posters and local flyers. 2. CC wished to put on record the partnership's thanks to AM for drawing up and delivering the plans. | |
| 13/12 | <p>Electronic Data Records Management (EDRM)</p> <p>All public bodies were currently reviewing electronic storage of records and documents. Only one copy of each document would be centrally held at DCC and minutes of meetings would be officially deleted after 3 years. This was seen as facilitating Freedom Of Information (FOI) requests by reducing the amount of data to be searched. Partnership was asked to note this change.</p> | |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----------|
| 14/12 | <p>Partnership Organisation Updates</p> <p>DCC</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BP advised that the Transport Group were currently re-writing the transport section of the WHS Review document. <p>DVMWHS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> AF noted that NR had been in discussion regarding the erection of communication masts along the valley (at High Peak Jct and at Whatstandwell). As a Statutory Body, NR did not need to consult on what was a “permitted development” but they had agreed to minor re-siting and sympathetic painting/design to minimise intrusion. <p>Peak Rail</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NC advised that a mainline steam excursion from London to Rowsley was planned for 27 May | |
| 15/12 | <p>AOB</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MW asked if the DVL Newsletter for Matlock Bath was going to be produced again (the CRP had already paid for another insertion into the Matlock Bath Newsletter) .AM said another edition was not currently planned, but he would look at sending some local advert for inclusion. It would not meet the deadline for the next Matlock Bath edition. CC was concerned about the TVM at Matlock and EMT’s advice to passengers and had wanted to raise the matter with EMT representative (not present) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The TVM was frequently Out Of Service. Train Crew report failures and it is remotely monitored. There is no telephone number for passengers to communicate. Instances of train crew challenging passengers who have tickets bought from TVM’s but which are not valid on the particular train (mainline - not DVL local services). How are EMT addressing this. <p>It was suggested CC contact EMT direct.</p> | AM |
| 16/12 | <p>Dates Of Meetings 2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tuesday 19th June 2012, 10:15 St John’s Chapel, Belper Thursday 20th Sept 2012, 13:15, EMT Academy, Derby (AGM) Monday 3rd Dec 2012, 14:00, Derbyshire Dales Offices, Matlock | |